Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/942,733	MATSUDA ET AL	MATSUDA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Lao Y Lun	2673		
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):			
(1) <u>Lao Y Lun</u> .	(3)			
(2) Harry Stass.	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>08 September 2004</u> .				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☐ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2) <mark>⊡</mark> applicant's repres	entative]		
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.			
Claim(s) discussed:				
Identification of prior art discussed: Katsumura.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. (g) was not reached.	h)⊠ N/A.		
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicants' attory arguest examiner on paragaph #10 of the final office action could et al teach a doulbe-faced tape(10)(see figure 1A and column out an another final rejection to declare the mistake.</u>	s that the double-faced not found in Katsumui	tape(10) has been mentionals reference. Actually, I	oned by the Nakanishi	
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.	copy of the amendment			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse s	e last Office action has THE MAILING DATE OF THE SUBSTANCE	already been filed, APPL OF THIS INTERVIEW SU OF THE INTERVIEW.	ICANT IS IMMARY	
	L	J. Law	,	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examine	er's signature, if required		